# Substantial Equivalency Implementation Guidance

NewYorkStateEducationDepartment

OFFICE OF RELIGIOUS AND INDEPENDENT SCHOOL SUPPORT

# Contents

Catets	2
Irtedation	3
PART! Temindegy	5
PARTIE Substantial Equivalency Pathvays	7
Pathway 1: Registered High Schools	8
Pathway 2 State Approved Private Special Education Schools, State C State Supported School	10
Pahvay 3 Acceditation	11
Pathway 4 International Baccalaucete Programs	13
Pathway 5 Fedbally Approved Schools	
Pathway 6 Assessments	15
Pathway 7 Local Reviews	19
Criteria for Substartial Equivalency Reviews	19
Substantial Equivalency Review & Determination Process	23
PARTILE Tinefianes	
PARTIV: Safegrads	27

## Introduction

Liberary states, New Yorkhas accomplisory education law which requires that dilden aged 6 16 (or 17 in some localities) receive instruction. While nost study is receive this instruction in public schools, fartilies have a kide to die New York State Education law requires that wherever school aged dilden receive instruction, that instruction must provide them with the apportunity to acquire the essential basic knowledge and skills necessary to function as citizens and members of society. It places the primary responsibility for confirming that study is attending morphic schools are receiving such instruction upon local school authorities. Study is immorphic schools are critical to receive instruction that is at least substantially equivalent to the instruction provided in the public schools. It mealmost be identical to what is provided in the public schools.

NewYorks vibrat religious ard independents drot sectors eves many of the state is students in a wide variety of settings. The New York Board of Regents celebrates the diversity of these schools, which reflects our state's broad diversity and tradition of welcoming newcomes from around the world. Protecting these schools is a concommitment of the Regents' and New York. State's commitment to respect students and families of all backgrounds. This undestanding is an essential stating point for this work.

With that in mind and given the differences between public and marpublic school settings, the determination that sturbuts are receiving the instruction to which they are legally entitled poses some drallenges. Thus

Questions at any time may be directed to the CRISS office at <u>SEsuport@nysedgo</u>. Those without access to the internet can write to or call:

Office of Religious and Independent School Support (ORISS)
New York State Education Department
Room 1074 EBA
89 Washington Avenue
Albany, NY 12234
Phone (518) 473 8302

achinistrative identification number issued to annumblic school and assigned to aspecific multilic school site to recognize such site by the Department.

Substantial equivalency of instruction for a norpublic school means an instructional program which is compatible to that offered in the public schools and is designed to facilitate sturbats' academic progress as they move from grade to grade (8 NYCRR 1301[b]). Compatibleshould include consideration of any artifacts that collectively show that age and grade appropriate instruction of suitable depth and breach his implemented in all mandatory subjects and is designed to facilitate sturbats' academic progress from grade to grade. Substantially equivalent does not mean that are ligious or independent school must have the same school learness and yet he same content as the public school.

## PART II: Substantial Equivalency Pathways

Prior to the promigation of the regulation, educators in both public and map thic schools found the review process under. To address these conterns, the new regulation drainlies the subjects to be considered, limiting the required subjects for a substantial equivalency review to the one subject areas required by the state's Education Law, along with other statutorily required subject areas. At the same time, the regulation provides flexibility through the use of six additional pathways, beyond alocal review, that can be used to confirm that arropublic school is providing substantially equivalent instruction to its students. This guidance will elaborate on the pathways. For those pathways that require components to be approved by the Department, the guidance will describe processes for obtaining such approval.

The pathways established by the regulation are

- 1) Registeredhighschods and their affiliated lover gade programs;
- 2) State approved private special educations drods, state operated, or state supported schools
- 3 Acceditation by an approved accedito;
- 4) Schools with International Baccalaureate programs;
- 5) Federally approved schools
- 6) Regularuse of approved assessments denominating academic progress,
- 7)Tra Ir bea app7

# Pathway 1: Registered High Schools

Registered high schools are norpublic schools that are voluntarily registered with the Board of

status by the Department, can be found on the NYSED website at http://www.nysedgov/norpublic-schods/norpublic-ligh-schod-registration

Pending Registered: If an opublic school elects the registration pathway but is shown on the SEDREF website as "pending" registration status, the LSA and applicant should contact CRISS for further information. High schools with "pending" registration status may use the registration pathway only if they have been recently visited by NYSED staff, have been deemed to be approvable and are nearly avaiting a meeting of the Board of Regents so that full registration status can be confirmed. Any other high school with pending registration status (for example, those that still need to provide none information to the Department or have recently charged location and need an ewsite visit) must choose another pathway.

# Pathway 2 State Approved Private Special Education Schools, State Operated or State Supported Schools

State approved private special educations drods and state operated or state supported schools

## Pathway3 Accreditation

Acceditation is a process through which as chold demonstrates to an acceditor; through a right of established process, that its educational program and school management meet certain standards. Under the regulation, acceditation by an approved acceditor is an accepted pathway to demonstrating that a mapphilic school provides instruction that is substantially equivalent. Normalic schools may choose to become accedited by a variety of accediting organizations. Each acceditor has its own mission, emphasis, and standards. Each acceditor also chooses how to apply its own priorities in its acceditation process.

NYSED will not interfere with such picities and processes accediting agarizations have developed to ensure that schools meet their standards. Under this pathway, the regulation does require that to be approved the accediting body must:

Use a perreview process that includes evaluation by leaders of similar morphilic schools,

Appropriately trainal staff and perreviewes who are involved in the accorditation process,

Accedit based on publicly accessible documented standards of that inclin

Should a morpublic school opt to use the acceditation pathway to demonstrate substantial equivalence, it will inform the LSA and provide evidence of its term of acceditation, i.e., start date and expiration date of its acceditation. NYSED may seek that information directly from acceditors if needed

Provisional Accreditation or improcess accreditation may precede full accreditation from an accreditor and closs <u>mot</u> satisfy the accreditation pathway unless, based upon the Department's review, the particular status satisfies the requirements of the regulations overall and provides assurance that the intent of the Compulsory Education Lawis fulfilled Imany event, materials prepared as part of the accreditation process should be used in the local review process.

#### **For Accreditors**

Gaining NYSED Approval: If an accediting organization visites to gain NYSED approval for its acceditation process, it must provide evidence that demonstrates that the organization is process meets the regulatory criteria as cuttined above

Accreditors seeking approval by NYSED can apply to SEsuport@nysedgov.

Acceditorshold indicate in the application materials whether they use positional or in pocess statutes and whether they propose that such statutes be accepted by the Department for this pathway.

NYSED staff will review the information provided and may seek additional information, which might include NYSED staff members accompanying teams from that accreditor on accreditation visits in New York States chocks

The Department will indicate on the approved list of accreditors whether the accreditor's provisional accreditation is acceptable

Any picrecognition of an accediting organization by the Department or Board of Regents for other purposes may be noted on an application but is not in and of itself determinative for approval under this pathway.

Reapproval Cycle The acceditation organization will be asked to demonstrate that its process remains consistent with the criteria on a regular cycle, every five years

Mid Cycle Reviews Should come maise, NYSED may observe the acceditation process and review documents at any time for acceditors that it has approved for this purpose Should the organization preferror to be observed, it may voluntarily with the white map reveal is the same of the companion of th

Removal: NYSED may remove an accreditor from the approved list at any time if NYSED determines that the accreditor rolonger meets the requirements for approved accreditors. Notice of such addressination will be provided to the accreditor and to any affected schools in writing. Schools affected by the removal of an approved accreditor will meet to dectarother pathway.

# Pathway4 International Baccalaureate Programs

If no public schools participate in the International Baccalaureate (IB) Program, they will be considered substantially equivalent by the LSA upon notification and submission of evidence it has fully implemented as uses sful IB program at the school. The LSA can confirm that as chool has approved participation in the IB program by reviewing the IB Program website listing at <a href="https://www.iboorg/abutethe-ib/ib/wold-schools/">https://www.iboorg/abutethe-ib/ib/wold-schools/</a>. A link to this information will be posted on NYSED's CRISS website

## Pathway 5 Federally Approved Schools

Any norphic schools operating under the auspices of the federal government, such as Department of Defense Education Activity schools located on military bases (the United States Military Academy at West Point), shall be considered substantially equivalent.

NYSED will maintain a list of these schools on its velocite. Should LSAs have a question regarding one of the ftShooling of idMo Mieithean T " ise at

ate

### Approved by the Department

Approved Tests: While the Department develops a compelensive list of approved assessments, it is hold be noted that State achimistered assessments such as Regents exams, 38 Mathematics and ELA assessments, Grades 5 and 8 Elementary and Intermediate Level Science Tests, NYSAA, and the NYSESLAT assessments may be used to demonstrate compliance. The list of approved assessments will be posted on the NYSED vebsite at <a href="https://www.nysed.gov/norpublic\_schools/substantial-equivalency">https://www.nysed.gov/norpublic\_schools/substantial-equivalency</a>. Note that only summative/cumulative assessments will be acceptable for demonstrating compliance with this pathway. Assessments that are only used in the capacity of diagnostic, interim, or formative tests will not be included on the list of those approved.

Approval for new tests NYSED will post a list of other sum ative/cumulative assessments which may be approved on its CRISS website School leaders who wish to request consideration

Proficiency Rate The regulations do not specify any minimum proficiency rate, but consistent lack of proficiency over a span of years may inform consideration of whether the tests and analysis of the results are regularly part of the school's instructional program as discussed in the results are regularly part of the school's instructional program as discussed in the results are regularly part of the school's instructional program as discussed in the results are regularly part of the school in the results are regularly part of the school in the results are required to t

Regularly Used by the Norpublic School as Part of Its Instructional Program Consideration of this criteria involves both regularity of use archelationship to instructional program

Norphic schools proposing to use the Assessment Pathway must provide the following information to the LSA:

Identification of the approved (or approvable) assessments given across miltiple gade levels. Some form of end of year summative/cumulative assessment(s) should be administered for each gade from 3 through 12 (through different assessments may be used in dementary/middle/rightschool if necessary). Note Assessments are not required for kindagatenthrough gade 2. If an orphibic school only serves kindagatenthrough gade 2 and her pathway must be used

The assessments must cover the core subjects (that is, at least mathematics and English larguage arts in the elementary grades, and additionally, science in intermediate and secondaryschool, and social studies in secondaryschool).

The assessments should be administered at intervals comparable to, but not necessarily identical to State assessments and include the core subjects. For example

Elementary ardintemediate level students New York State provides the Grades 38 English Larguage Ants and Mathematics Tests, and Grades 5 and 8 Elementary and Intermediate Level Science Tests,

High School Students: New York State provides Regents examinations in ELA, Mathematics, Science, and Social Studies; and

English larguage learners (all grades): New York State provides the New York State English as a Second Larguage Test (NYSESLAT) which is composed of four sections, speaking listering reading and writing

Describe how assessments relate to the school's curriculum.

Describe how results are incorporated into the school's improvement efforts, i.e., how the school achieves evidence of student progress, or the lack thread, both for individual students and improved grade level instruction overall.

NOTE: Determining whether the school's assessment models are regularly used and bear a relationship to the instructional program is the only area in which an LSA should engage in additional investigation

## Pathway 7. Local Reviews

Norphlics droks nay drose to continue to use the traditional local review pathway, which will result in an LSA determination or Commissioner's determination, depending on whether the Commissioner is responsible for making the final determination pursuant to 8NYCRR 1302(b). In this section, the Department provides select guidance regarding (1) review criteria and (2) review and determination procedures

## Criteria for Substantial Equivalency Reviews

The gold the local review process is to confirm that the roughlics dood is providing instruction in the required subject areas for its students of compulsory educationage. The expectation is that these interactions will be mutually cordial and respectful of schools and their instructional approaches. As stated in the regulation, reviews must be conducted in a manner that is sensitive and respectful of morphics adold communities. This includes a focus on apportunities offered to morphic school students to acquire one skills and make academic progress. Instructional programs in morphic schools need not demonstrate perfect congruence between public and morphic schools instruction. The Department believes that the proposed rule will enable morphic schools to comply with the Compulsory Education Lawwhile also maintaining their unique culture and beliefs in the delivery of instruction.

Important agritives kills may be developed in these schools in ways that are different from public school may drose whether to integrate required instruction into religious classes either in whole or part for purposes of consideration by the LSA. For example, some schools have intellectually rigorous religious education programs that develop dose reading textual analysis, and other cognitives kills. If the norphilic school so chooses, these aspects of the school's program may be considered and included in the overall review as demonstrating that instructional requirements are met through the entire curiculum. At the same time, we caution that it is not appropriate for the LSA to review the religious content itself. LSA reviewes, or their representatives, must focus on recognizing the development of skills and knowledge in the norphilic school's program. The LSA, and/or their subcontractor; shall be informed by, and respectful of, the cultural and religious beliefs and educational philosophy that may dive the curiculum in norphilic schools and be integrated with academic content in the delivery of instruction (130 10 [d]).

The review process must achiese the criteria enumerated in 8 NYCRR 1309 for substantial equivalency reviews, which are contained in the Compulsory Education Law These include organizational and content requirements as follows:

Instructionis given by aco M up lina " e

## Teacher Competence

School requirement, hiring policies, and other evidence as to how the norphilic school implements its policies through staff assignments aimed at providing substantially equivalent instruction in the required subject areas

State certification (although many blics dood teaches read not be certified).

Professional development or continuing education policies and information on how they are implemented

Teacherdservationardevaluationmethodology, including whether and how the school's teacher evaluation methods measure and take into account teacher effectiveness

Observation during the site visit suggesting that the staff possess a mastery of course content and knowledge/skill to provide substantially equivalent instruction in the required subject areas

Sturket gades and/or assessment results (with appropriate privacy potection) or other evidence that the school measures academic progress throughout the year and from grade to grade

The assembled records hold confirm that the conjudent medical by the school is compatible to that offeed in the public schools and actually delivered to sturk its. The task of the reviewer is to ensure that sturk its of compulsory age are receiving sufficient instruction in the required subject areas to enable the materials are specifically actually the school of the confirmation of the confirmation and the confirmation areas to enable the materials are specifically actually actually

## Englishasthe Language of Instruction

Education Law § 3304(2)(i) requires that "English shall be the language of instruction, and text books used shall be written in English" but the regulation does not endure content taught in a language of territorin English

The statute also provides an exception "for a period of three years, which period may be extended by the commissioner with respect to individual pupils, upon application thereforely the appropriate school authorities, to a period not in excess of six years, from the date of enrollment in school, pupils who, by reason of foreign birth or ancestry have limited English proficiency, shall be provided with instructional programs as specified in subdivision two a of this section and the regulations of the commissioner. The purpose of providing such pupils with instruction shall be to enable them to develop academically while achieving competence in the English larguage"

As noted above, we emphasize that for norphilic schools that serve students who are English language learners, the review must take this into consideration, balancing the readifors tudents to progress in their use of English, as well as their must enjoy of the required content. Reviewes would expect to see efforts to improve students' must enjoy of the English language, with the portion of instruction in core subjects provided in English for students growing over time, consistent with the intent of the Education Law.

NYCRR 1303 LSAs must complete substantial equivalency determinations (and recommendations for schools subject to a Commissioner's determination) for all new

## PARTIV: Safeguards

The regulations provide numerous safegrards to ensure that substantial equivalency determinations are fair and accurate These safegrards include (1) the ability for the Commissionertoreviewevidencesubmitted to the LSA from the morphilic school demonstrating that it meets a pathway pursuant to section 1303(b); (2) the complaint procedure cultimed in section 13011; (3) the option for those considering themselves aggrieved by an LSA's substantial equivalency determination to file an Education Law's 310 appeal to the Commissioner pursuant to section 13012; (4) the Commissioner's ability to review records and/or documentation that an LSA used to make its substantial equivalency determination; and (5) the Commissioner's ability to initiate review of the determination to determine whether it is supported and issue advantage and house and the pursuant to section 13013. We offer guidance on a few such safegrards here

## **Complaints**

Pusuartiosection 13011 of the regulation, the Commissioner has the discretion to direct an LSA or Board of Cooperative Educational Services (BOCES) to investigate an or public school if the Commissioner has cause for consenuegateding the substantial equivalency of instruction at such computing school, either based on a complaint or some other source of information. This section does not require the Commissioner to direct an investigation based upon the receipt of any complaint, rather, it provides discretion to do so. If the Commissioner receives complaints that are not direct an investigation under section 13011. The Commissioner may elect to request records for review in correction with his or her impury into the validity of a complaint.

The scope of an investigation pursuant to section 13011 will be tailored to the nature of the Commissioner's contemplout the instruction provided at an orphilic schod. For example, if there is neason to believe that a schod fails to provide a physical education program the Commissioner may direct the LSA to investigate the schod's physical education program and determine (or make a recommendation as to) whether this aspect of the schod's educational program is substantially equivalent.

AnLSA or BOCES that receives a complaint about the substantial equivalency of instruction at a normalic school within its geographical boundaries may use its discretion—subject to contrary direction from the Commissioner—to determine whether the complaint has merit and whether an investigation is wanted

Norphicschods demonstrating substantial equivalency through any pathway may be the subject of a complaint and an investigation pursuant to this section

The Department will investigate complaints about registered complaint about a registered complaint about a registered complaint about a registered complaint should forward such complaint to CRISS for processing

A party with a concern that a school's instruction is not substantially equivalent may contact **CRSS**.

## A complaint should include, at a minimum

Thesdod's range

Theselord's achiese

The party's affiliation or correction with the school (alumns, parent, etc.);

Theresonforthecomplaint and

Any evidence or supporting documentation regarding the allegations being made

### Appeals to the Commissioner of Education

Resonantide ingle enselves aggieved by an LSA's substantial equivalency determination may file an appeal to the Commissioner within 30 days of the LSA's decision pursuant to Education Law §310 and section 275.16, and the Commissioner may, in their discretion, stay such determination per ding a finel determination on such appeal pursuant to Education Law §311 and section 276.1. An individual may not maintain an appeal pursuant to Education Law §310 unless aggieved in the sense that they have suffered personal damage or injury to their civil, personal, or property rights. While the regulation only expressly requires an LSA to notify schools of their right to appeal at the time of a final substantial equivalency determination, parties may be sufficiently aggieved at an early stage of the review to permit an appeal.